



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		Tares of	Washington, D.C. 2023	N C
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPL	LICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/889,975	07/10/97	JANAY	G	30/01
		LM02/0413		EXAMINER
JEFFREY I	ζΔΡΙ ΔΝ	E11027 5-1-1	LUU, L	•
KAPLAN & GILMAN			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 ROUTE 5TH FLOOR	9 NORTH		2756	12
WOODBRIDGE	NJ 07095		DATE MAILED.	04/13/99
Below	is a communication	from the EXAMINER in charge of the	his application	
	COMMISSION	ER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARI	KS	
X THE DESIGN E	· ·	ADVISORY ACTIO	N	

	. ADVISORY ACTION
<b>ξ</b> τ	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
) [	is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
) <b>)</b>	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In research however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate for The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CF 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
) A	opellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
	oplicant's response to the final rejection, filed $03/26/99$ has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deem place the application in condition for allowance:
Þ	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
·	There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
	d.  They are not deemed to place the application in botter form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
	e.   They prosent additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: Applicants introduce new limitations that veguire further yeard and consideration.
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed it submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelli the non-allowable claims.
×	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
	Claims allowed:
	Claims objected to:
	Claims rejected:
	However;  Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because
	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.
] Th	proposed drawing correction 🔲 has 🗀 has not been approved by the examiner.
10	\ runner

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)

Le H. Luc